

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	4:15CR3091
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	<b>MEMORANDUM</b>
v.	)	<b>AND ORDER</b>
	)	
SHARON A. ELDER,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	
_____	)	

On October 31, 2016, Magistrate Judge Cheryl R. Zwart made certain findings of fact (Filing [154](#)) and recommended that Defendant's motion to suppress (Filing [112](#)) be denied insofar as Defendant's Fifth Amendment claim is concerned.<sup>1</sup> No objections to the Findings and Recommendation have been filed within the time prescribed by 28 U.S.C. § 636(b).

I have conducted a de novo review of the record. I find that inasmuch as the Magistrate Judge has fully, carefully, and correctly found the facts and applied the law, the Findings and Recommendation should be adopted and Defendant's motion to suppress should be denied insofar as Defendant's Fourth Amendment claim is concerned. Accordingly,

---

<sup>1</sup>Judge Zwart previously issued a Findings and Recommendation (Filing [133](#)) regarding Elder's Fourth Amendment claim, and I adopted that Recommendation on September 9, 2016. (Filing [142](#).) At the time Elder's Fourth Amendment claim was resolved, Elder's Fifth Amendment claim had been scheduled for a hearing before Magistrate Judge Zwart, but had not yet occurred. (Filing [133](#); Filing [138](#); Filing 139 (text order).)

IT IS ORDERED:

1. The Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendation (Filing [154](#)) is adopted.
2. Defendant's motion to suppress (Filing [112](#)) is denied insofar as Defendant's Fifth Amendment claim is concerned.

DATED this 28th day of November, 2016.

BY THE COURT:

*s/ Richard G. Kopf*

Senior United States District Judge